IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

<u>CWP No. 3548 of 2015</u>

Date of decision: 10.08.2015

Ginni Global Limited

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others....Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner:

Ms. Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Senior Advocate with Ms. Amrita Massey Advocate.

For the respondents:

Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General with Mr. Anup Rattan, Mr. Romesh Verma, Additional Advocate Generals, Mr. J.K. Verma and Mr. Vikram Thakur, Deputy Advocate Generals, for respondents No. 1 to 4.

Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice (Oral)

CMP No. 8616 of 2015

Leave granted. The application is disposed of.

CWP No. 3548 of 2015

The main grievance of the petitioner is that the private respondent alongwith other persons, is causing obstruction to repair the commissioned project i.e. Balsio

¹ Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

Hydro Electric Power (HEP) Project carried on by the petitioner in District Chamba, H.P. It is also submitted that due permissions have been obtained by the petitioner from the respondents-State for the construction of its road leading to the project.

- 2. Issue notice only to respondents No. 1 to 4. Mr. J.K. Verma, Advocate, waives the same on behalf of the aforesaid respondents.
- 3. Keeping in view the relief sought, read with the judgment dated 27th November, 2014, passed by this Court in CWP No. 8782 of 2014, titled as M/s Raheja Hydel Power Pvt. Ltd. versus State of H.P. & others, we deem it proper to dispose of this writ petition, at this stage, by directing respondents No. 1 to 4 to take all steps to maintain law and order and also to ensure that no unlawful obstruction is caused on the road leading to the project of the petitioner. They are also directed to take all steps to provide adequate security cover to the writ petitioner at the project site including road, in case the writ petitioner approaches the concerned Authorities, at the expense of the petitioner.

4. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly alongwith all pending applications.

Copy dasti.

(Mansoor Ahmad Mir)
Chief Justice.

August 10, 2015 (hemlata)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge.